



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,298	04/19/2001	In Soo Kim	P-215	9592
34610	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P	
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHANTILL	., VR 20133		2642	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I Amalia di La Di	A U			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/837,298	KIM, IN SOO /			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thjuan P Knowlin	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 D	ecember 2004.				
	· 				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-13,16 and 18-20 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-13,16 and 18-20 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on December 08, 2004 has been entered. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 18, 19, and 20 have been amended. Claims 6, 8, 14, 15, and 17 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 16, and 18-20 are now pending in this application, with claims 1, 10, and 18 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 9-10, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dunn et al (US 6,463,144).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 10, and 18, Dunn discloses a method for identifying a calling party number of a switching system comprising: checking whether a termination subscriber has registered for a calling party number call-back service when a call set-up is requested; storing a calling party number of an origination subscriber (calling party/originator) if the termination subscriber (called party/terminating user) has

Application/Control Number: 09/837,298 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

registered for the calling party number call-back service (col. 6 lines 1-12); announcing (voice message) the stored calling party number to the termination subscriber if a calling party number confirming request is received from the termination subscriber (col. 6 lines 13-20), wherein announcing the calling party number comprises; sensing input of a code (user ID/telephone number) from the termination subscriber; checking whether the sensed code is a code for confirming the calling party number; announcing (announcement to POTS phones or voice mail) the calling party number of the origination subscriber stored in the memory in a predetermined form to the termination subscriber if the sensed code is a calling party number confirmation code (col. 6 lines 21-32); and requesting the termination subscriber select whether or not the announced calling party is automatically called back (col. 6 lines 40-65).

- 4. In regards to claim 7, Dunn discloses the method, wherein the predetermined form refers to a voice announcement or a display form (col. 6 lines 28-32).
- 5. In regards to claims 9 and 16, Dunn discloses the method, wherein the calling party number includes a plurality of calling party numbers, which are displayed on a display unit, each calling party number being assigned with a number sequentially (col. 6 lines 7-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2642

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 2-5, 11-13, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al (US 6,463,144), in view of Farris (US 5,692,033).
- 7. In regards to claims 2 and 11, Dunn discloses of the claims 2 and 11 limitations, except the method, wherein identifying a calling party number comprises: requesting the calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intraoffice call; checking whether a switching system of an intra-office is a single station when the calling party number is informed; and storing the calling party number informed by the origination processing unit in a data base if the switching system of an intra-office is single station. Farris, however, does disclose the method, wherein identifying a calling party number comprises: requesting the calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call; checking whether a switching system of an intra-office is a single station when the calling party number is informed; and storing the calling party number informed by the origination processing unit in a data base if the switching system of an intra-office is single station (col. 7 lines 29-41 and col. 11 lines 57-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ these features within the method, as a way of providing a call-back service to a called party, in which, the calling party is sending an intra-office call from a single station.
- 8. In regards to claim 3, Dunn discloses all of claim 3 limitations, except the method, wherein the calling party number is not stored if a terminal of the termination subscriber is busy. Farris, however, does disclose the method, wherein the calling party

number is not stored if a terminal of the termination subscriber is busy (col. 7 lines 50-58 and col. 9 lines 32-37).

Page 5

9. In regards to claim 4, 5, 12, 13, 19, and 20, Dunn discloses all of claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 19, and 20 limitations, except the method, wherein storing the calling party number comprises: requesting a calling party number from the origination processing unit if the requested call is an intra-office call; checking whether a switching system of the intra-office is a multi-station when the calling party number is informed (col. 7 lines 29-41 and col. 11 lines 57-63); comparing an area code (collected dialed digits) of the calling party number informed by the origination processing unit and an area code (stored password) of its own office if the switching system of the intra-office is a multi-station; and storing only the calling party number if the two area codes are identical to each other, and storing both the area code and the calling party number if the two area codes are different to each other (col. 13-14 lines 50-4).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7, 9-13, 16, and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jordan et al (US 4,313,035) teach a method or providing person locator service.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,298 Page 6

Art Unit: 2642

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Amad F Mata